## Applicant(s) Application No. 09/406,837 SEDDIGH ET AL. Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit Inder P Mehra 2666 All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) Inder P Mehra, Assistant Examiner. (3)Xiang Lu, Technical Assistant, (2) John D. Harris, Attorney, Regd. No.39,465. (4)\_\_\_\_\_. Date of Interview: 04 February 2005. Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e)⊠ No. If Yes, brief description: . Claim(s) discussed: 1 and related issues. Identification of prior art discussed: Hamilton et al (US Patent No. 6392993), and Kadansky et al (US Patent No. (6,507,562).Agreement with respect to the claims f(x) = x was reached. f(x) = x was not reached. f(x) = xSubstance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Issues relevant to claim 1 were discussed and no agreement was reached. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required